



GARY R. HERBERT
Governor

SPENCER J. COX
Lieutenant Governor

State of Utah
Department of Commerce
Division of Securities

FRANCINE A. GIANI
Executive Director

THOMAS A. BRADY
Director, Division of Securities

October 16, 2019

Keith M. Woodwell
Clyde Snow & Sessions, P.C.
201 South Main Street, Suite 1300
Salt Lake City, UT 84111-2216

Via U.S. Mail and email: kmw@clydesnow.com

Re: Powell Anderson Capital Partners LLC No-Action Request Letter

Dear Mr. Woodwell:

The Utah Division of Securities (“Division”) has reviewed your September 26, 2019 request for a no-action letter concerning Powell Anderson Capital Partners LLC (“Powell Anderson”). Your request for relief from the Division is authorized by Section 61-1-25(5) of the Utah Uniform Securities Act (“Act”) and Utah Administrative Code Rule R164-25-5.

Your letter indicates Powell Anderson is an investment adviser that manages a qualifying private fund (“the Fund”) as defined in Rule 203(m)-1 of the Investment Advisers Act of 1940 (“IA Act”). The Fund is eligible for the exclusion from the definition of an investment company under Section 3(c)(1) of the Investment Company Act of 1940, and is only open to persons who qualify either as an “accredited investor” as defined in Regulation D under the Securities Act of 1933, or as a “qualified client” as defined in Rule 205-3 of the IA Act. Powell Anderson and the Fund will have a place of business in Utah. As described in your letter, Powell Anderson does not qualify for an exemption under Utah Administrative Code Rule R164-4-9. However, it would qualify for an exemption under a NASAA Model Rule¹ that provides a licensing exemption for advisers to Section 3(c)(1) private funds as the adviser meets the required criteria and agrees to file required reports with the Division and will pay any filing fee established in the

¹ NASAA Registration Exemption for Investment Advisers to Private Funds Model Rule, attached as Exhibit A to your request letter. We note Rule R164-4-9 was promulgated prior to the existence of the NASAA Model Rule, which as your letter indicates has been adopted in some form in more than 38 U.S. jurisdictions.

future by the Division for such advisers.

Based upon the representations in your letter, we will not recommend any enforcement or administrative disciplinary action should Powell Anderson proceed with its business in Utah as an exempt reporting adviser as set forth in the letter. As this recommendation is based upon the representations made to the Division, any different facts or conditions of a material nature might require a different conclusion. Furthermore, the relief granted herein is expressly limited to Powell Anderson and will have no precedential effect whatsoever for any other party. This response does not purport to express any legal conclusions regarding the applicability of statutory or regulatory provisions of federal or state securities laws to the questions presented. It merely expresses the position of the Division staff on enforcement or administrative actions. Finally, the issuance of a no-action letter does not absolve any party from complying with the antifraud provisions contained in Section 61-1-1 of the Act.

Very truly yours,

UTAH DIVISION OF SECURITIES



Charles M. Lyons
Securities Analyst

cc: Ken Barton, Director of Compliance
Bryan Cowley, Director of Registration and Licensing

H

ClydeSnow

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

CLYDE SNOW & SESSIONS

A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION

ONE UTAH CENTER • THIRTEENTH FLOOR
201 SOUTH MAIN STREET, SUITE 1300
SALT LAKE CITY, UTAH 84111-2216
TEL 801.322.2516 • FAX 801.521.6280
www.clydesnow.com

RODNEY G. SNOW
STEVEN E. CLYDE
EDWIN C. BARNES
NEIL A. KAPLAN^o
D. BRENT ROSE
J. SCOTT HUNTER
PERRIN R. LOVE
DEAN C. ANDREASEN
ANNELI R. SMITH
WALTER A. ROMNEY, JR.
MATTHEW A. STEWARD
T. MICKELL JIMENEZ
CHRISTOPHER B. SNOW^o
BRENT R. BAKER^o
AARON D. LEBENTA
WAYNE Z. BENNETT
BRIAN A. LEBRECHT^o
ROBERT D. ANDREASEN
TIMOTHY R. PACK
JAMES W. ANDERSON
DIANA L. TELFER
SHANNON K. ZOLLINGER
LISA A. MARCY^o
JONATHAN D. BLETZACKER

JONATHAN S. CLYDE⁼
VICTORIA B. FINLINSON
EMILY E. LEWIS^{tt}
SHAUNDA L. MCNEILL
LAURA D. JOHNSON
TRENTON L. LOWE
KATHERINE E. PEPIN
JOSEPH D. WATKINS

OF COUNSEL:
CLARK W. SESSIONS[†]
REAGAN L.B. DESMOND^{=o}
KEITH M. WOODWELL
T. EDWARD CUNDICK^o

EDWARD W. CLYDE (1917-1991)

[†] SENIOR COUNSEL
^o ALSO ADMITTED IN CALIFORNIA
^o ALSO ADMITTED IN DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
^o ALSO ADMITTED IN NEW YORK
⁼ ALSO ADMITTED IN OREGON
^o ALSO ADMITTED IN TEXAS
^{tt} ALSO ADMITTED IN WYOMING

September 26, 2019

RECEIVED

SEP 26 2019

Utah Department of Commerce
Division of Securities

Mr. Tom Brady
Securities Director
Department of Commerce
Division of Securities
160 East 300 South, 2nd Floor
Salt Lake City, Utah 84111

Re: *Powell Anderson Capital Partners LLC*

Dear Mr. Brady:

On behalf of Powell Anderson Capital Partners LLC ("Powell Anderson"), we respectfully request a No Action Letter from the Utah Division of Securities that would allow Powell Anderson, an investment adviser that qualifies for a registration exemption based on the NASAA Model Rule for Investment Advisers to Private Funds, to file as an exempt reporting adviser in Utah. Powell Anderson meets the investment adviser licensing exemption requirements under the NASAA Registration Exemption for Investment Advisers to Private Funds Model Rule, and exempting Powell Anderson from investment adviser licensing is in the public interest.

Background Information

Powell Anderson is an investment adviser that manages Powell Anderson Capital LP, a qualifying private fund as defined in Rule 203(m)-1 of the Investment Adviser Act of 1940 (the "Fund"). The Fund is eligible for the exclusion from the definition of an investment company under Section 3(c)(1) of the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended. The Fund is only open to persons who qualify either as an "accredited investor" as defined in Regulation D under the Securities Act, or as a "qualified client" as defined in Rule 205-3 of the Investment Advisers Act of 1940, as amended. Powell Anderson and the Fund were originally formed and are currently headquartered in New York, New York, but intend to have a place of business in Park City, Utah.

Fee #: F0573129 Ck #: 07371
File #: _____ Amt: \$120

The Utah Division of Securities Administrative Rule R164-4-9(A)(2) “provides exemptions from the licensing requirements of the [Utah Uniform Securities] Act for investment advisers and investment adviser representatives who meet specified criteria.” The Utah exemption under Utah Admin. Code R164-4-9(D) only applies to “a private fund that regularly makes equity investments in companies” when certain specified conditions are met. These specified conditions are designed for venture capital funds that usually take an active role with the companies in which those venture capital funds invest. While the Fund may occasionally take an active role in trying to influence the management of companies in which it invests, the Fund would generally be considered a passive investor for purposes of the exemption in R164-4-9(A)(2). Therefore, Powell Anderson would not qualify for the exemption for investment advice to certain private funds who pursue a venture capital strategy.

While Powell Anderson does not qualify for the narrow R164-4-9(D) exemption which is designed specifically for venture capital funds that take an active management role in the target companies, Powell Anderson would qualify for the much broader exemption under the corresponding Model Rule adopted by the North American Securities Administrators Association (“NASAA”) on December 16, 2011, and Amended October 8, 2013. (Attached as Exhibit “A”.) The NASAA model rule gives a licensing exemption for advisers to Section 3(c)(1) private funds if the private fund adviser satisfies each of the following conditions:

- (1) Neither the private fund adviser nor any of its advisory affiliates are subject to an event that would disqualify an issuer under Rule 506(d)(1) of SEC Regulation D, 17 C.F.R. § 230.506(d)(1);
- (2) The private fund adviser files with the state each report and amendment thereto that an exempt reporting adviser is required to file with the Securities and Exchange Commission pursuant to SEC Rule 204-4, 17 C.F.R. § 275.204-4; and
- (3) The private fund adviser pays the fees specified in Section XXX [410 of USA 2002].

See Exhibit A, at (I)(b).

Powell Anderson is not subject to an event that would disqualify an issuer. Powell Anderson will file the reports required to be filed by exempt reporting advisers and pay any filing fee established by the Division.

In addition to the requirements listed above, a private fund adviser who advises at least one Section 3(c)(1) fund that is not a venture capital fund must also comply with the following requirements:

- (1) The private fund adviser shall advise only those 3(c)(1) funds (other than venture capital funds) whose outstanding securities (other than short-term paper) are beneficially owned entirely by persons who, after deducting the value of the primary residence from the person's net worth, would each meet the definition of a qualified client in SEC Rule 205-3, 17 C.F.R. § 275.205-3, at the time the securities are purchased from the issuer;
- (2) At the time of purchase, the private fund adviser shall disclose the following in writing to each beneficial owner of a 3(c)(1) fund that is not a venture capital fund:
 - a. All services, if any, to be provided to individual beneficial owners;
 - b. All duties, if any, the investment adviser owes to the beneficial owners; and
 - c. Any other material information affecting the rights or responsibilities of the beneficial owners.
- (3) The private fund adviser shall obtain on an annual basis audited financial statements of each 3(c)(1) fund that is not a venture capital fund, and shall deliver a copy of such audited financial statements to each beneficial owner of the fund.

See Exhibit A, at (I)(c).

The Fund currently has five investors, representing only 11% of the total capital in the Fund, who were accredited investors at the time of purchase but do not meet the current higher threshold for qualified clients. In order to comply with the requirements of the NASAA Model Rule, going forward the Fund will only allow new investors who meet the definition of a qualified client as defined in Rule 205-3 of the Investment Advisers Act. Powell Anderson will continue to provide the required information regarding services, duties, rights and responsibilities to the beneficial owners of the Fund at the time of purchase. Powell Anderson has obtained an annual audit of its financial statements in previous years and will continue to provide audited financial statements to each beneficial owner of the Fund on an annual basis. Accordingly, Powell Anderson meets all the requirements of the registration exemption for private fund advisers under NASAA's Model Rules.

Basis for Relief

Section (I)(i) of the NASAA Model Rule includes an optional "Grandfathering Provision" that would allow advisers to private funds currently exempt under state law to remain exempt provided that the adviser files the reports required under the rule, does not accept new investors that will not meet the financial requirements imposed by the rule, and provides the required disclosures to the investors.

Powell Anderson qualifies for the registration exemption for private fund advisers under the NASAA Model Rule. This Model Rule, or a variation thereof which grants a similar exemption for a private fund adviser, has been adopted in at least 38 U.S. jurisdictions, meaning that private fund advisers similar or identical to Powell Anderson are exempt from the registration requirements in a clear majority of jurisdictions in the United States.

Moreover, we believe that exempting Powell Anderson from the registration requirements would pose no risk to the beneficial owners of the Fund. Those beneficial owners meet the SEC standards for investment sophistication and experience as either qualified clients or accredited investors. Powell Anderson provides the beneficial owners of the Fund with all of the material information necessary to evaluate the risks and merits of investing in the Fund and will provide annual filings to the SEC and the Utah Division of Securities. Given these facts, Powell Anderson respectfully requests that the Division exempt it from the investment adviser licensing requirements of Utah Uniform Securities Act.

If you have questions regarding this request or require additional information, please do not hesitate to contact me. I look forward to your response.

Sincerely,

CLYDE SNOW & SESSIONS



Keith M. Woodwell

Enclosure

**NASAA Registration Exemption for Investment Advisers to Private Funds
Model Rule**

*Adopted December 16, 2011; Amended October 08, 2013**

I. TEXT OF MODEL RULE

Rule XXX. Registration exemption for investment advisers to private funds.

(a) ***Definitions.*** For purposes of this regulation, the following definitions shall apply:

(1) “Value of primary residence” means the fair market value of a person’s primary residence, subtracted by the amount of debt secured by the property up to its fair market value.

(2) “Private fund adviser” means an investment adviser who provides advice solely to one or more qualifying private funds.

(3) “Qualifying private fund” means a private fund that meets the definition of a qualifying private fund in SEC Rule 203(m)-1, 17 C.F.R. 275.203(m)-1.

(4) “3(c)(1) fund” means a qualifying private fund that is eligible for the exclusion from the definition of an investment company under section 3(c)(1) of the Investment Company Act of 1940, 15 U.S.C. 80a-3(c)(1).

(5) “Venture capital fund” means a private fund that meets the definition of a venture capital fund in SEC Rule 203(l)-1, 17 C.F.R. § 275.203(l)-1.

(b) ***Exemption for private fund advisers.*** Subject to the additional requirements of paragraph (c) below, a private fund adviser shall be exempt from the registration requirements of Section XXX [403 of USA 2002] if the private fund adviser satisfies each of the following conditions:

(1) neither the private fund adviser nor any of its advisory affiliates are subject to an event that would disqualify an issuer under Rule 506(d)(1) of SEC Regulation D, 17 C.F.R. § 230.506(d)(1);

(2) the private fund adviser files with the state each report and amendment thereto that an exempt reporting adviser is required to file with the Securities and Exchange Commission pursuant to SEC Rule 204-4, 17 C.F.R. § 275.204-4; and

(3) the private fund adviser pays the fees specified in Section XXX [410 of USA 2002].

(c) ***Additional requirements for private fund advisers to certain 3(c)(1) funds.*** In order to qualify for the exemption described in paragraph (b) of this regulation, a private fund adviser who advises at least one (3)(c)(1) fund that is not a venture capital fund shall, in addition to satisfying each of the conditions specified in paragraphs (b)(1) through (b)(3), comply with the following requirements:

(1) The private fund adviser shall advise only those 3(c)(1) funds (other than venture capital funds) whose outstanding securities (other than short-term paper) are beneficially owned entirely by persons who, after deducting the value of the primary residence from the person's net worth, would each meet the definition of a qualified client in SEC Rule 205-3, 17 C.F.R. § 275.205-3, at the time the securities are purchased from the issuer;

(2) At the time of purchase, the private fund adviser shall disclose the following in writing to each beneficial owner of a 3(c)(1) fund that is not a venture capital fund:

(A) all services, if any, to be provided to individual beneficial owners;

(B) all duties, if any, the investment adviser owes to the beneficial owners; and

(C) any other material information affecting the rights or responsibilities of the beneficial owners.

(3) The private fund adviser shall obtain on an annual basis audited financial statements of each 3(c)(1) fund that is not a venture capital fund, and shall deliver a copy of such audited financial statements to each beneficial owner of the fund.

(d) **Federal covered investment advisers.** If a private fund adviser is registered with the Securities and Exchange Commission, the adviser shall not be eligible for this exemption and shall comply with the state notice filing requirements applicable to federal covered investment advisers in Section XXX [405 of USA 2002].

(e) **Investment adviser representatives.** A person is exempt from the registration requirements of Section XXX [404 of USA 2002] if he or she is employed by or associated with an investment adviser that is exempt from registration in this state pursuant to this regulation and does not otherwise act as an investment adviser representative.

(f) **Electronic filing.** The report filings described in paragraph (b)(2) above shall be made electronically through the IARD. A report shall be deemed filed when the report and the fee required by Section XXX [410 of USA 2002] are filed and accepted by the IARD on the state's behalf.

(g) **Transition.** An investment adviser who becomes ineligible for the exemption provided by this rule must comply with all applicable laws and rules requiring registration or notice filing within ninety (90) days from the date the investment adviser's eligibility for this exemption ceases.

(h) Waiver Authority with Respect to Statutory Disqualification. Paragraph (b)(1) shall not apply upon a showing of good cause and without prejudice to any other action of the [state securities regulator], if the [Administrator] determines that it is not necessary under the circumstances that an exemption be denied.

[(i) Grandfathering for investment advisers to 3(c)(1) funds with non-qualified clients. An investment adviser to a 3(c)(1) fund (other than a venture capital fund) that has one or more beneficial owners who are not qualified clients as described in subparagraph (c)(1) is eligible for the exemption contained in paragraph (b) of this regulation if the following conditions are satisfied:

(1) the subject fund existed prior to the effective date of this regulation;

(2) as of the effective date of this regulation, the subject fund ceases to accept beneficial owners who are not qualified clients, as described in subparagraph (c)(1) of this regulation;

(3) the investment adviser discloses in writing the information described in paragraph (c)(2) to all beneficial owners of the fund; and

(4) as of the effective date of this regulation, the investment adviser delivers audited financial statements as required by paragraph (c)(3).]

II. COMMENTARY

1. Section (a). Section (a) defines key terms in the model rule. The definitions are structured such that the types of private funds covered under the rule will include funds excluded from the definition of investment company under Sections 3(c)(1) and 3(c)(7) of the Investment Company Act, along with other private funds that would satisfy the statutory requirements found in these exclusions.
2. Section (b). Section (b) explains that in order to claim the exemption from registration, the adviser and its affiliates must not be subject to a “bad boy” disqualification. This section of the rule also explains that the exemption is contingent upon the adviser filing a report with the state securities administrator. This report is identical to the one required by the SEC for advisers to venture capital funds and private funds with less than \$150 million in assets under management. Changes to Form ADV and to IARD have been implemented that will accommodate the filing of the report with state regulators. The report will consist of the following items on Part 1A of Form ADV: Items 1 (Identifying Information), 2.B. (SEC Reporting by Exempt Reporting Advisers), 3 (Form of Organization), 6 (Other Business Activities), 7 (Financial Industry Affiliations and Private Fund Reporting), 10 (Control Persons), and 11 (Disclosure Information). In addition, the corresponding sections of Schedules A, B, C, and D must be completed.
3. Section (c). Section (c) and its subparts place additional conditions upon advisers to 3(c)(1) funds. Specifically, in order to qualify for the exemption from investment adviser registration, the 3(c)(1) fund must be comprised entirely of “qualified clients” under SEC Rule 205-3. This means that individual investors must have either \$1 million in investments managed by the adviser or at least \$2 million in net worth. The model rule states that the value of the primary residence is not included in calculating net worth. The value of the primary residence will be an estimate of the fair market value made at the time the net worth calculation is conducted. Section (c) also requires the adviser to deliver annual audited financial statements to the investors in the fund, and it requires the adviser to make other specific disclosures to those investors.
4. Section (d). This section simply notes that advisers registered with the SEC are not eligible for the exemption. They are treated the same as other federal covered advisers.
5. Section (e). The rule establishes an exemption from registration for investment advisers. Therefore, this section explains that the investment adviser representatives employed by the advisers would not be required to register.

6. Section (f). Section (f) requires the reports filed by the advisers to be filed with the state through IARD. The rule recognizes that a state may charge a fee for this report, but in most instances a statutory change would likely be required to implement the fee.
7. Section (g). When an exempt reporting adviser loses the exemption by, for instance, adding a client that does not meet the financial requirements under the rule, the adviser would be required to register. This paragraph gives the adviser 90 days in which to complete that registration.
8. Section (h). Section (h) is an optional “grandfathering” provision that would allow advisers to private funds currently exempt under state law to remain exempt provided that the adviser files the reports required under the rule, does not accept new investors that will not meet the financial requirements imposed by the rule, and provides the required disclosures to the investors.